THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 7201 of 2025

Abdul Gani Ansari, aged about 42 year s/o Majid Miyan r/o village Dihi, Murup, P.O. & P.S. Latehar, Jharkhand ….....**Petitioner(s)**

Vrs.

1.The State of Jharkhand
2.The Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, district Ranchi, Jharkhand
3.The Secretary, the Department of Land Revenue and Registration, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, district Ranchi, Jharkhand
4.The Deputy Commissioner cum District Collector Latehar, P.O. & P.S. Latehar Jharkhand
5.The Additional District Collector Latehar, P.O & P.S. Latehar, Jharkhand
6.The Circle Officer, Latehar, P.O. & P.S. Latehar, Jharkhand

..... **Respondents**

…....

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sashikant Ojha, Advocate
For the Resp.-State : Mr. Ankit Kumar, A.C to S.C.-I

02/12.12.2025 The present writ petition has been filed for the following relief(s):

For issuance of appropriate writ(s)/ order(s)/direction(s) particularly a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding upon the concerned respondents to demarcate the land of the petitioner under mauza Amwatikar, thana no. 255, halka no. 4 khata no. 34 plot no. 551 (rakba 1.28 acre) & plot no. 563 (rakba 0.16 acre), total rakba 1.44 acre registered in the name of great grand father of the petitioner, namely Bhagan Jolha in Khatiyan and Register 2 as the order of demarcation of the said land has been already passed in Land Demarcation Case no. 1644/2025-26 order dated 04.07.2025.

AND/OR

Pass any other appropriate order(s) to which the Petitioners is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case;

2. It is settled principal of law that there is no provision for demarcation of land by the State authority i.e., the Circle Officer and this fact has been held by the L.P.A. Court in L.P.A. No. 399 of 2023

vide order dated 14.11.2024.

3. The State authorities are only the service provider. If there is no dispute and all the parties agree, then only demarcation can be done.

4. However, if there is any dispute, then it can only be resolved through the competent Civil Court and not by resorting to the executive power of the demarcation as the Executive Authority is only the service provider.

5. In that view of the matter, I find no reason to entertain the present writ petition.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

12.12.2025
A. Mohanty

Uploaded

____/____/2025