2025:JHHC:38068

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Contempt Case (Civil) No. 258 of 2023
With
I.A. No.17156 of 2025

Md. Sahabuddin Ansari, aged about 63 years, S/o Md. Pir Ali, resident of Village-Barwabera, P.O. Jarangdih Colliery, P.S. Bokaro, District Bokaro ... … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Kuldeep Chaudhary, The Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro, P.O. & P.S.-Bokaro, District-Bokaro … … Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Abhishek Sriwastava, Advocate
For the Opposite Parties : Mr. Neeraj Kr. Mishra, AC to GP-IV

21/18.12.2025

1. I.A. No. 17156 of 2025 has been filed seeking exemption of physical appearance of the opposite party No.2-the Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro in terms of the order dated 30.10.2025.

2. It has been stated in the present interlocutory application that the opposite party No.2-the Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro has passed the order on 13.07.2023 in Misc. (4h) Case No.16/2023-24 arising out of Land Verification Case No.128 of 2015-16 and sent the order of cancellation of jamabandi pertaining to the concerned land to the Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribag for confirmation to the Government.

3. It has further been averred that Misc. (4h) Case No.79/2023-24 arising out of Land Verification Case No.130/2015-16 has also been disposed by the opposite party No.2-the Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro vide order dated 20.09.2024 and the order of cancellation of jamabandi pertaining to the concerned land has

been sent to the Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribag for confirmation to the Government.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party No.2, thus submits, that since the order dated 22.08.2022 passed in W.P.(C) No.1364 of 2021 has already been complied by the opposite party No.2, the contempt proceeding against him may be dropped and his physical appearance before this Court may be dispensed with.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering that in compliance of the aforesaid order of this Court, the opposite party no. 2 has already passed the reasoned orders, I see no reason to further proceed in the present contempt case. The contempt proceeding as against the opposite party no. 2 is dropped and his physical appearance is dispensed with.

6. The contempt case is accordingly disposed of.

7. I.A. No. 17156 of 2025 is also disposed of.

8. The petitioner is, however, at liberty to take appropriate recourse as available under law against the orders dated 13.07.2023 and 20.09.2024 passed by the opposite party No.2.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

December 18, 2025
Madhav

Uploaded on: