

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT SRINAGAR**

...  
WP(C) 1622/2025  
CM(4177/2025)

GHULAM NABI MIR AND ANR.

.....Petitioner(s)

Through:  
*Mr. Nisar Ahmad, Adv.*

**Versus**

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K AND ORS.

.....Respondent(s)

Through:  
*None*

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
**14.07.2025**

1. The petitioners through the medium of the instant petition have sought the following reliefs:

*“By issuance of a ‘Writ of Mandamus’ or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents, more particularly respondent Nos. 4 to 6, be directed to issue revenue extracts of the property/hotel of the petitioners covered under Survey No. 266 Min (915/266 new) situated near Lal Kothi, Tehsil Pahalgam and District Anantnag forthwith so that the petitioners would be in a position to execute the sale deed in favour of the purchaser and*

*Any other writ, order or direction which this Hon’ble court deems fit and proper, in the attending facts and circumstances of the case, may also be passed in favour of the petitioners and against the respondents.”*

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn the attention of this court to a communication issued by Tehsildar, Pahalgam, in pursuant to the application preferred by the petitioners which has been reverted for some discrepancy in spot possession on the recommendation of the field agency. The aforesaid communication has not been called in question in the instant petition and feeling aggrieved of the same, the

petitioners have already approached the concerned Deputy Commissioner by way of representation who till date has not taken any action. The petitioners have not placed on record any such representation substantiating the claim whether any such representation has been preferred against the aforesaid decision taken by the Tehsildar Pahalgam before the Competent Authority i.e., Deputy Commissioner.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to the concerned Deputy Commissioner to take a decision within some reasonable time to which learned counsel from the other side has no objection.
4. Without commenting upon the merits of the instant petition or maintainability, since an innocuous prayer has been made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned Deputy Commissioner-Respondent No. 3 to take a decision with respect to the representation alleged to have been filed by the petitioners and is pending adjudication before him, within a period of four weeks from the date copy of this order along with writ petition and Annexures are made available to the said respondent.
5. Writ petition is ***disposed of*** along with connected CM in the manner as indicated above.

**(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)**  
**JUDGE**