Serial No. 24
Supplementary List-1

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH AT JAMMU

WP(C) No. 2498/2022

Mudassir Ahmed Bhat

.....Petitioner

Through: Proxy counsel vice
Mr. N.D. Qazi, Advocate

Vs

UT of J&K & Ors.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Nazia Fazal, Assisting Counsel to
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE

ORDER
(20.12.2025)

01. The institution of this writ petition by the petitioner was with a purpose to get adequate security cover to his person and to his family members purportedly on account of life threats to his life at the hands of private respondents.

02. In the entire writ petition, the petitioner has not referred to any fact as to whether for any act of criminal intimidation at the end of the private respondents giving cause of concern to the petitioner about the safety and security of his life and limb, the petitioner led himself first to concerned Police Station with a complaint for lodging of FIR, as such, the petitioner is not entitled to

ask for so-called security cover to his person as well as family members by reference to the alleged undefined and unexplained acts of omission or commission at the part of the private respondents giving the apprehension to the petitioner to come forward with the writ petition, as such, the writ petition is **dismissed** notwithstanding the non-appearance of counsel for the petitioner.

(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
20.12.2025
SUNIL

Whether the order is speaking ? : Yes/No
Whether the order is reportable ? : Yes/No

HIGH COURT
सत्यमेव जयते
OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH