S. No. 80

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH AT JAMMU

Case No. :-WP(C) No. 237/2025
CM No. 454/2025

Manoj Kumar Sharma .....Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Azmat Ullah Parihar, Advocate.

Vs.

Union of India and ors. ..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Vishal Sharma, DSGI.

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
04.02.2025

1. After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant petition and submits that the petitioner would feel satisfied in case, a direction is issued to the respondents to decide a representation, which is pending before the respondents and has not been decided as on date and to the said proposition, Mr. Vishal Sharma, learned DSGI has no objection, provided the same is directed to be accorded consideration strictly in accordance with the rules and as per law.

1. Without commenting upon the merits of the instant petition, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the instant petition at the very threshold by directing the respondents to decide the representation alleged to have been filed by the petitioner on 23.01.2025 within a period of four weeks from the date, a copy of this order along with writ petition and annexures

are made available to them. The order of consideration, which is likely to be passed, be forwarded to the petitioner through registered post.

2. Petition is, accordingly, ***disposed of*** along with connected CM.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

JAMMU
04.02.2025
Ram Krishan

HIGH COURT
सत्यमेव जयते
OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH

Ram Krishan
2025.02.06 14:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document