

OD-1

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction  
ORIGINAL SIDE

CS/95/2025

IA No. GA/1/2025, GA/2/2025, GA/3/2025, GA/4/2025, GA/5/2025

OMDAYAL EDUCATIONAL AND RESEARCH SOCIETY AND ANR.

-VS-

SHYAM SUNDAR AGARWAL AND ORS.

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARINDAM MUKHERJEE

Date: September 19, 2025

Appearance:

Mr. Naman Chowdhury, Adv.

Mr. Yash Singhi, Adv.

Mr. Shubhrojyoti Mookherjee, Adv.

Mr. Samriddha Sen, Adv.

Mr. Dinabandhu Dan, Adv.

Mr. Dipayan Dan, Adv.

Mr. Abhidipto Tarafdar, Adv.

... for the plaintiffs

Mr. Surajit Nath Mitra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Debanjan Mandal, Adv.

Mr. Chayan Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Iram Hassan, Adv.

Mr. Pourush Bandopadhyay, Adv.

Ms. Yukti Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Bhawsinghka, Adv.

... for Omdayal Educational and Research Society

Mr. Abhrajit Mitra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Debanjan Mandal, Adv.

Mr. Dharendra Nath Sharma, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sarvapriya Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. Satadeep Bhattacharyya, Adv.

Ms. Iram Hassan, Adv.

Ms. Yukti Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Bhawsinghka, Adv.

... for the defendant nos.1, 2 and 3

Mr. Ranjan Bachawat, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Debanjan Mandal, Adv.  
Mr. Satyaki Mukherjee, Adv.  
Mr. Bhavesh Garodia, Adv.  
Ms. Iram Hassan, Adv.  
Ms. Yukti Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Bhawsinghka, Adv.  
... for the defendant no.4

Mr. Jishnu Chowdhury, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Abhidipta Tarafdar, Adv.  
Mr. Amartya Basu, Adv.  
... for the defendant no.5 & 6.

Mr. Jaydip Kar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Abhijit Sarkar, Adv.  
Mr. Sourodeep Banerjee, Adv.  
... for the teachers

Mr. Sabyasachi Chowdhury, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Rajarshi Dutta, Adv.  
Mr. Shounak Mukhopadhyay, Adv.  
Ms. Pooja Sah, Adv.  
... ... for Tarita Sanjiv Agarwal, Natasha  
Dandia Agarwal and Ritu Agarwal

The Court: The suit has been brought into the list on being mentioned by the plaintiffs.

It is submitted by the learned Advocate representing the plaintiffs that he has received instruction from his clients for not proceeding with the suit any further. A written instruction received by the learned Advocate from his clients in this regard is placed before the Court and retained with the records. It appears from the said written instructions that the instructions given by the plaintiffs are with prior approval of the proforma defendant nos.5 and 6 being respectively the wife and daughter of plaintiff no. 2. The said defendants have also counter-signed the letter dated 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2025 wherein such instructions are contained.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the suit is dismissed for non-prosecution.

All connected applications accordingly stand disposed of.

(ARINDAM MUKHERJEE, J.)

pa