

OD-06

WPO/534/2025
THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

Md. Sayeed
Versus
The Kolkata Municipal Corporation & Ors.

Before:
The Hon'ble JUSTICE RAJA BASU CHOWDHURY
Date: 11th November 2025

Appearance:
Mr. Raghunath Chakraborty, Advocate
Mr. Supratick Shyamal, Advocate
Ms. Manisha Nath, Advocate
Mrs. Sonali Sengupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Alak Kr. Ghosh, Advocate
Mr. Swapan Kr. Debnath, Advocate
for the KMC

The Court: 1. The instant writ petition has been filed to allow the petitioner's application for mutation and separation in respect of 4 cottahs of land in Dag No. 590 purchased by the petitioner vide deed of conveyance dated 17th December 1982 in respect of the premises no. 36, Topsia Road, Kolkata – 700039.

2. Mr. Chakraborty, learned advocate representing the petitioner would submit that he is only interested to seek mutation of the property in his name and insisted that the Corporation should record the transfer of interest of 4 cottahs of land in Dag No.590 situated at premises no.36, Topsia Road, Kolkata – 700039 in favour of the petitioner.

3. Mr. Ghosh, learned advocate representing the Kolkata Municipal Corporation would submit that the petitioner was from time to time called upon to submit the documents in relation to the property in question. Since, all the documents have not been submitted, the matter is pending consideration.

4. Having heard the learned advocates representing the respective parties and noting that already an inspection has been conducted by the Chief Municipal Law Officer/Member of the respondent Corporation in presence of Chief Valuer and Surveyor and Municipal Commissioner (Revenue) and noting that the matter is pending before the authority for some time, I am of the view that a decision as regards the petitioner's application must be taken by the municipal authorities. The petitioner, however, must produce the title deed based on which the petitioner is claiming title and other documents as may be available with the petitioner for expeditiously processing the petitioner's application for mutation. It is expected that a decision in this regard must be taken by the municipal authorities as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(RAJA BASU CHOWDHURY, J.)