

ORDER SHEET

APOT/172/2025
WITH
TS/1/2012
IA NO: GA/1/2025, GA/2/2025

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

IN THE GOODS OF :
PURNA CHANDRA BARIK (DEC)
AND
JAYABATI BARICK (PATRA)
VS
NETAI CHANDRA BARICK

BEFORE:
The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARIJIT BANERJEE
AND
The Hon'ble JUSTICE RAI CHATTOPADHYAY
Date : 9th July, 2025.

Appearance:
Ms. Jayabati Barick (Patra)
appears in person

Mr. Gautam Chakraborty, Adv.
Mr. Purnendu Das, Adv.
Mr. K.K. Boral, Adv.
...for the defendant

Dictated by Arijit Banerjee, J.

The Court: This appeal is directed against two orders dated May 16, 2025 and June 11, 2025, passed by a learned Judge of this Court in TS/1/2012 (Jayabati Barick vs. Netai Chandra Barick).

Since the appellant was appearing in person before the learned Single Judge, His Lordship, to help out the appellant, directed the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee to appoint an Advocate on behalf of the appellant herein.

When the matter was again listed before the learned Judge on June 11, 2025, the learned Judge recorded an order to the effect that no report regarding the engagement of Advocate on behalf of the present appellant has been filed by the High Court Legal Services Committee and the appellant was still appearing in person. The learned Judge again called for a report.

Being aggrieved the appellant before us. We are afraid that this appeal is not maintainable. The learned Judge has decided nothing.

Therefore, we are dismissing the appeal and the connected applications.

However, the appellant submits that the matter is on the verge of being concluded before the learned Single Judge.

Since the appellant insists on appearing in person and pleading her own case, we are sure that the learned Single Judge will allow her to plead her own case appearing in person.

(ARIJIT BANERJEE, J.)

(RAI CHATTOPADHYAY, J.)

sg.