

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

20.01.2025.
52.
as
(Allowed).

C.R.M. (A) 996 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Jalpaiguri Women P.S. Case No.153 of 2024 dated 21.11.2024 under Sections 85/117(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

In the matter of : ***Sumitra Mondal (Das).***

... Petitioner.

Mr. Anirban Banerjee.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ujjwal Luksom,
Ms. Sukanya Adhikary.

.....for the State.

1. Petitioner is the married sister-in-law of the victim lady. She submits she has been falsely implicated. Accordingly, she prays for anticipatory bail.

2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

3. We have considered the materials on record. Petitioner contends she is the married sister-in-law of the victim lady and did not ordinarily reside at the matrimonial home. Possibility of false implication due to matrimonial dispute cannot be ruled out.

4. Under such circumstances, we are inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the jurisdictional court and pray for regular bail within a period of four weeks from date.

6. This application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)