

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

20.01.2025.
28/tkm

C.R.M. (A) 964 of 2024

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 482 of the BNS 2023 in connection with Mekhliganj P.S case no. 261 of 2024 dated 11.7.2024 under sections 115(2)/351(2)(3) of BNS 2023 adding sections 6/17 of POCSO Act

And

In Re : Md. Rubel

...petitioner

Ms. M Dutta

Ms. Stishti Sarkar

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Arijit Sarkar

Ms. Namrata Das

...for the State

1. Petitioner contends there was a romantic relationship between the parties. He prays for anticipatory bail.

2. Learned lawyer for the State submits petitioner has threatened the de facto complainant to withdraw the case.

3. We have considered the material on record. Statement of victim shows she had been forcibly raped. She was hospitalized. That apart, during pendency of the application there are allegations of threat.

4. Under such circumstances we are of the opinion this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)