

11.12.2025
Court No.28
dl. 1.
ssi

CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
APPELLATE SIDE

CRM (A) 893 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Sahebganj Police Station Case No.**579 of 2025** dated **28.09.2025** under Sections **21 (C)/25/29** of the NDPS Act.

And

In the matter of: **Mohammed Islam**

....Applicant/Petitioner.

Mr. Prajadeepta Roy
Ms. Sohini Kundu
Mr. Sabir Ali
Mr. Debojyoti Gaswami

...for the petitioner

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty, Ld. APP
Mr. Kallol Acharjee

..for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that as the police officers were visiting the petitioner's house, this prompted the petitioner to apply for anticipatory bail in connection with the instant case. There is no material available against the petitioner in respect of the instant case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and submits that the co-accused had named one Sarif Hoque and some others as being involved in the present offence. However, the petitioner's name has not been taken by any accused and there are no other incriminating materials available in the case diary as against the present petitioner whose name is Mohammed Islam. The present petitioner is not wanted in respect of the present case.

As the petitioner is not an accused and not even wanted in respect of the instant case, the application for anticipatory bail is disposed of as infructuous.

However, if the petitioner is subsequently named in this case as an accused, he shall be at liberty to take appropriate steps including filing an application for anticipatory bail.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)