

Court No. 2
(1545)

Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side

07.11.2025
(JPD 16)

WPA 2250 of 2025

(S. Banerjee) Vikas Singh @ Vikash Kumar Singh @ Bikash Singh
Vs.
The District Project Officer, Samagra Shiksha Mission,
Jalpaiguri & Ors.

Mr. Sanjay Mazoomdar
Ms. Sunakya Adhikary
... for the petitioner

Mr. Pretom Das
Mr. Bikash Singha
... for the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court today is
taken on record.

The petitioner claims to be a Para Teacher
serving at Matelli Rastrabhasa Vidyalaya High
School (HS). On the basis of a criminal complaint
lodged against the petitioner, a criminal case was
initiated and the petitioner was initially taken to
custody and subsequently released on bail. The
petitioner was acquitted by the Additional Sessions
Judge, Mal, District-Jalpaiguri by a judgment dated
September 27, 2024. After being acquitted in the
criminal case, petitioner applied before the
concerned authority for reinstating him. Petitioner
alleges that there was about 8 months delay on the

part of the authorities in reinstating him as a Para Teacher of the said school. Petitioner claims to have submitted a representation praying for release of the arrear salary.

The learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to arrear salary from the date of acquittal of the criminal case as well as back-wages.

The learned advocate appearing for the petitioner, in his usual fairness, submits that since the petitioner is a layman, he has not specified all his entitlements in the said representation.

Heard the learned advocate for the State. He submits that the petitioner is not entitled to any back-wages or arrear salary.

After going through the said representation this Court finds that the same is not a comprehensive one. In view thereof, this Court is inclined to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to file a comprehensive representation ventilating all his grievances before the concerned authority.

This writ petition stands disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner to submit a comprehensive representation before the District Education Officer,

SSM, Jalpaiguri, being the 2nd respondent, along with a server copy of this order.

If such a representation is made, the 2nd respondent shall consider and dispose of the same by passing a reasoned order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner or his authorized representative and communicate such reasoned order to the petitioner immediately thereafter. The entire exercise shall be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the server copy of the order along with the comprehensive representation as directed to be filed pursuant to this order.

It will be open to the petitioner to rely upon any circular/notification or any order passed by any court of law in support of his claim for back-wages, arrear salary etc.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)