

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction**

17.11.2025
51(DL)
Ct. No.3
srm
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 696 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Sahebganj P. S. Case No.27 of 2025 dated 19.01.2025 under Sections 85/109 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

In the matter of : Akshay Barman

... Petitioner.

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder,
Ms. Mousumi Das

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sourav Ganguly,
Mr. Dhiman Sil

...for the State.

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that his marriage was solemnized with the victim 18 years ago. The FIR is the outcome of family dispute. He seeks for anticipatory bail.
2. Opposing such prayer, learned Advocate for the State submits that the victim sustained cut injuries which had to be treated upon hospitalisation. He seeks for dismissal of the application for anticipatory bail.
3. Perused the case diary and materials on record.
4. It is found from the medical report that the victim sustained compound fracture injuries in her left hand and she had to be hospitalized. The statement of the

victim reveals serious allegation against this petitioner. Considering such materials and the nature and gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Thus, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

5. **CRM (A) 696 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)