

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

17.11.2025
50(DL)
Ct. No.3
srm
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 694 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Boxirhat P. S. Case No.149 of 2025 dated 12.04.2025 under Sections 126(2)/118/(2)/109/303(2)/351(2)(3)/3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

In the matter of : Rashidul Haque

... Petitioner.

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder,
Ms. Mousumi Das

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sourav Ganguly,
Mr. Dhiman Sil

...for the State.

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case out of village dispute. The co-accused persons have been granted bail by the learned Sessions Judge, Cooch Behar. However, the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail was turned down by the learned Sessions Judge. He seeks for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.
2. Opposing such prayer, learned Advocate for the State submits that the victim sustained cut injury on left frontal area upon assault by the petitioner. There are specific allegations against this petitioner. He seeks for dismissal of the prayer for anticipatory bail.

3. Perused the case diary and materials on record.
4. It is found that there are specific allegations against this petitioner of assaulting the victim. The victim sustained cut injury over left frontal area and had to be admitted to the hospital. Considering such materials and the nature and gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail.
5. Thus, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.
6. Accordingly, the application being **CRM (A) 694 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)