

17.11.2025
Item no.49
Court No.3
ss
(Allowed)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (A) 693 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023/Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Ghoksadanga P.S. Case No.179 of 2025 dated 25.03.2025 under Section 108/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to G.R. Case No.455 of 2025.

In the matter of : **Ranjit Sarkar**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder
Ms. Mousumi Das

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakaraborty, Ld. APP
Mr. Biswarup Roy

.....for the State.

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the allegations in the FIR relates to family dispute. There are no such circumstances at the instance of the petitioner which led to abetment of suicide. Upon completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted. He seeks for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
2. Opposing such prayer for anticipatory bail learned Advocate for the State submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioner. He seeks for dismissal of the application.

3. Perused the case diary and the materials on record.
4. It is found from the statement of the witnesses that save and except of a family dispute and there are no such specific allegations against the petitioner. The allegation appears to be omnibus. Post Mortem report reveals that the death was due to effect of ante mortem hanging. In view of the above, I am inclined to allow the prayer of the petition for anticipatory bail.
5. Accordingly, in the event of arrest the petitioner namely, **Ranjit Sarkar** shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear on every date before the jurisdictional court on and from the date fixed for appearance of the accused and in default the jurisdictional Court will pass appropriate order to secure the presence of the petitioner in Court including cancelling the anticipatory bail granted without further reference to this Court.
6. This application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.
7. **CRM (A) 693 of 2025** stands disposed of.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)