

10.07.2025
Item No.5
Court No.01
SK(AR(CR)

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

CO/161/2024

**MOUMITA CHAKI CHOWDHURY
VS
SIB SAKTI CHOWDHURY**

Mr. Subhasish Misra,
Mr. Satyajit Paul,
Ms. Shreya Sarkar,
...for the petitioner

Mr. Suman Sehanabis (Mandal),
....for the respondent

This is an application challenging an order passed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 whereby the applicant wife has been granted a sum of Rs.2000/- per month to be paid towards alimony *pendente lite* from the date of this order.

It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that the impugned order is grossly unreasonable and unjustified and deserves to be set aside.

On behalf of the respondent husband, it is submitted a sum of Rs. 5000/- is already being paid to the applicant in terms of an order passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate in a proceeding initiated under Section 125 of the CRPC. As such, the impugned order warrants no interference whatsoever and the revisional application is liable to be dismissed.

The matter was heard on different dates i.e. 9 July, 2025, 7 July, 2025, 3 July, 2025 and 30 June, 2025. Despite repeated attempts to try to settle the disputes, the appellant wife is adamant and submits that she is not interested in any kind of settlement.

The impugned order has been passed after recording and considering all the facts and circumstances of the case. The Additional District and Sessions Judge (First Track Court) has taken into consideration not only the income gross salary of the petitioner but also all other relevant facts and circumstances. An aggregate sum of Rs. 7000/- cannot be described as unreasonable or unjustified. There are no other grounds to interfere with the discretion exercised by the Additional District and Sessions Judge (First Track Court).

However, there shall be no order as to costs.

In view of the submissions made on behalf of the respondent that they are already paying a sum of Rs. 5000+ Rs. 2000 in terms of the above, no further order needs to be passed at the stage of this proceeding.

Accordingly, CO/161/2024 stands dismissed.

(RAVI KRISHAN KAPUR, J.)

