

01.09.2025
Sl. no. 07
Ct. No. 03
KAUSHIK
REJECTED

IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
AT JALPAIGURI

C.R.M. (A) 633 OF 2025

In re : An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Pundibari** Police Station Case No. **373** of **2025** dated **24.04.2025** under Section 309(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

In the matter of : Rahul Hossain.

... petitioner

Mr. Subhasish Misra,
Mr. Satyajit Paul.

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty, learned APP
Mr. Ujjwal Luksom

... for the State

This petition has been filed to grant pre-arrest bail on the ground that the name of the petitioner has appeared only in the statement of the co-accused.

Learned counsel for the state submits that co-accused Prasenjit Das has been arrested and from whose possession Rs.35,000/- have been recovered. Learned counsel further submits that the name of the petitioner has appeared in the statement of the arrested accused. Learned counsel further submits that that custodial interrogation is necessary in the present case.

The Court, at this stage, considers that since the investigation is still continuing and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for completion of the investigation, the petitioner is not entitled to grant to anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, duly applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all usual requisite formalities.

(Dinesh Kumar Sharma, J.)