

08.09.2025

Ct. No.3

Sl. No.20

Mujahid

**Calcutta High Court
In The Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

CRM (A) 592 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Pundibari** P.S. Case No.**354** of **2023** dated **26.05.2023** under Sections **498A/325/307/506** of the IPC.

And

In the matter of: **Sangita Kar**

....Petitioner

Mr. Subhasish Misra,
Mr. Satyajit Paul

...for the petitioner

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee,
Mr. Dhiman Sil

...for the State

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that husband and mother-in-law of the de facto complainant have already been admitted to bail. Learned counsel submits that the allegation against the present petitioner is general in nature.

2. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the de facto complainant was regularly being tortured and earlier also. The complaint was filed by her.

3. The court has perused the translated copy of the FIR mainly, the allegations are against the husband. The allegation against the present petitioner is general in nature.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is stated at bar that other accused persons have been admitted to bail.

5. In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions that petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the Investigating Officer and shall not threaten, intimidate or tamper the witness in any manner whatsoever.

6. The application for anticipatory bail is thus, disposed of.

7. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Dinesh Kumar Sharma, J.)