

03.09.2025
Ct.No.3
Sl. No.6
Mujahid

**Calcutta High Court
In The Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

CRM (A) 562 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S. in connection with **Pundibari** P.S. Case No.**208 of 2025** dated **25.02.2025** under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/109/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Rahijul Miya & Ors.**

... Petitioners

Mr. Subhasish Misra,
Mr. Satyajit Paul

...for the petitioners

Mr. Saikat Chatterjee,
Mr. Chattu Roy

...for the State

Mr. Sagnik Sarkar

...for the de facto complainant

1. Present petition has been filed for anticipatory in Pundibari P.S. Case No.208 of 2025 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/109/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there are no specific allegations against the petitioners in the FIR and, therefore, they may be admitted to pre-arrest bail.

3. Learned counsel for the State has fairly submitted that the name of the petitioners appears in the FIR and the statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C., but main allegations are against Durlav Das.

4. I have heard the submissions.
5. Perusal of the FIR indicates that the allegations are that the accused persons forcefully entered into the house of the mother of the de facto complaint and exploded bomb. Allegedly they were also carrying dangerous weapons. The allegations are serious in nature. Petitioners are not entitled to pre-arrest bail.
6. Taking into facts and circumstances, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Dinesh Kumar Sharma, J.)