

02.07.2025

Item No.18

Ct.No.02

rc.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (A) 445 of 2025

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Cooch Behar Sadar Women Police Station Case No. 26 of 2025 dated 31.01.2023.

And

In Re : **Rinku Haq @ Rinku Hoque**

... Petitioner

Mr. Hillol Saha Poddar

... for the Petitioner

Mr. Kallol Acharjee

Mr. Dhiman Sil

... for the State

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the husband and has been falsely implicated. Charge sheet has been submitted. His custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. The treatment sheet of the defacto complainant prima facie indicates ingestion of “All Out” repellant.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)