

11
10.07.2025

jb.
jdt
Allowed

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction**

C.R.M. (A) 432 of 2025

In Re : An application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Bhaktinagar P.S. case no. 413 of 2025 dated 08.05.2025 under Sections 316(2)/318(4)/64/294/351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

And

In Re : **Deepak Roy @ Dipak Roy**

Mr. Subrata Sarkar
Mr. Santanu Bhowmik
Mr. Hillol Saha Poddar
Mr. Samrat Nag
Ms. Madumita Sarkar
Ms. Rinka Chakraborty

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee
Mr. Dhiman Sil

... for the State

Mr. Anirban Banerjee
Ms. Rishita Chakraborty

... for the defacto complainant

Affidavit of service and supplementary affidavit filed
by the petitioner are taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the defacto complainant were in consensual relationship. The bank accounts of the petitioner prima facie indicate that the petitioner bore the expenses of studies of the defacto complainant at Frankfinn Institute

and has also paid rents for the rented accommodation of the defacto complainant.

Learned counsels for the State and the defacto complainant oppose the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The bank statement of the petitioner prima facie suggests that the petitioner bore the expenses of studies and accommodation of the defacto complainant. Though the defacto complainant has submitted that the petitioner posted her obscene picture in social media, no such picture has been seized by the investigating agency. There is also nothing to suggest that such documents were produced before the investigating agency by the defacto complainant. The alleged payment of Rs. Seven Lakhs by the defacto complainant to the petitioner is also not supported by any document like bank or UPI transaction. Charge sheet has been submitted. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

In view of the above and considering the extent of complicity of the petitioner in the alleged offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner namely Deepak Roy @ Dipak Roy be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand), with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also subject to the conditions laid

down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. The petitioner shall appear before the jurisdictional Court and pray for regular bail within two weeks from date. The petitioner is however, directed to appear before the learned trial Court on every date of hearing.

The application for anticipatory bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)