

July 03, 2025

4 ARDR
(Rejected)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

C.R.M. (M) 156 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Maynaguri** Police
Station Case No. **126** of **2025** dated **05/3/2025** under Sections
137(2)/64 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In Re : **Prashanta Roy**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Jaydeep Kanta Bhowmik,
Mr. Sayantan Bhowmik,
Mr. Subham Kumar,
Ms. Sayantani Das,

... for the Petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Sarkar,

...for the de facto complainant.

Mr. Abhijit Sarkar,
Mr. Biswaroop Roy,

... for the State.

This is an application for bail.

The petitioner has committed rape upon the victim.

It is submitted by the learned advocate for the petitioner that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to previous
property disputes. She also submits that the petitioner may be
released on bail on any stringent condition.

Learned advocate for the State refers to the statement recorded
under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as the
medical evidence of the victim contained in the Case Diary.

I have gone through the statement of the victim recorded under
Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as the medical
evidence. The victim alleges rape committed upon her by the petitioner.
The medical evidence notes signs of injuries over vulva labria minora,

external signs of injury were also present. There were signs of recent physical assault.

Considering the aforesaid materials in the Case Diary as well as the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for bail is rejected.

The application is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)