

10
03.07.2025
jb.
jdt

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction**

C.R.M. (A) 413 of 2025

In Re : An application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Ghoksadanga Police Station Case No. 660 of 2024 dated 05.12.2024 under Sections 20(b)(ii)(C)/25/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In Re : **Suman Barman**

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty
Mr. Biswarup Roy

... for the State.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no recovery has been made from the petitioner. His name has transpired from the statement of the co-accused.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

It is a fact that the petitioner's name has transpired from the statement of the co-accused and no contraband article has been recovered from him. However, CDRs of the mobile phone of the petitioner prima facie suggest that the petitioner was in contact with the co-accused and was present near the place of occurrence at the relevant time.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and prima facie role of the petitioner therein, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

The application for anticipatory bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)