

13.06.2025
Serial no. 34
[G.S.D]

**CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

CRR 264 of 2025

In the matter of : Pratap Khati

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Dhiraj Lakhota
Ms. Khushi Kundu

... for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Ujjwal Luksom
Mr. Kallol Nag

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner does not intend to press the present revisional application and prays for liberty to file afresh.

As none appears on behalf of the State, Mr. Ujjwal Luksom, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State is directed to represent the State. Mr. Luksom is entitled to have a junior of his choice. The appointment of both Mr. Luksom and the junior of his choice, if any, be regularized by the concerned authority.

In view of the submissions advanced by the learned advocate for the petitioner, CRR 264 of 2025 is dismissed as not pressed.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file afresh with all the documents under Section 207 of the Cr.P.C.

Department is directed to return the certified copy after the same being replaced by a Photostat copy.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)