

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

23.04.2025
(ct. no.03)
15
BR

CRM (DB) 150 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Mithun Rajbhar

.... Petitioner.

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder

... For the Petitioner

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty, Ld. APP

Mr. Aniruddha Biswas

... for the State.

Mr. Bibek Tarafdar,

Mr. Rishita Chakraborty

.... For the de facto complainant

1. Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner be kept with the
record.

2. The de facto complainant is being represented.

3. Learned counsel for the State is also present.

4. Learned counsel for the de facto complainant has placed a
document to show that the trial in this case is being held
expeditiously and 29.4.2025 has been fixed for examination of
two witnesses and 30.4.2025 has been fixed for examination of
three witnesses which includes the doctor.

5. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner prays for filing
supplementary affidavit to bring evidence on record to substantiate
his argument with the evidence already recorded, this Court is of
the opinion that as the trial is in its last stage, the said prayer

should not be granted considering the nature of offence alleged and the stage of trial.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for bail stands rejected at this stage.

7. **CRM(DB) 150 of 2025** stands disposed of.

8. Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied expeditiously after complying with all necessary legal formalities.

(Shampa Dutt (Paul), J.)