

04.04.2025
Ct. No.2
29
Rohit

**Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

**WPA 526 of 2025
Souvik Shome
-vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Sandip Mondal
Mr. Amit Saha
...for the Petitioner
Mr. Subir Kumar Saha, Ld. AGP
Mr. Sandip Guha Roy
...for the State
Mr. Milindo Paul
Mr. Nabankur Paul
Ms. Sutapa Sen Paul
Ms. Bedashruti Bose
Mr. Subham Das
Mr. Bodhisatya Ghosh
...for the respondent Nos. 2 & 3

The petitioner alleges that the petitioner received a message from the bank wherefrom the petitioner has come to know that the savings bank account of the petitioner has been put on hold for a sum of Rs. 4,000/-. The learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not being allowed to access and operate the bank account freely. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the bank has not informed the petitioner of the reasons as to why the same has been put on hold.

Record reveals that a notice under Section 94 of BNSS (91 Cr.P.C) has been issued by the Cyber Cell Police Station- Masuri Commissionrate, Ghaziabad. It appears from the said notice that pursuant to a

complaint registered with the Cyber Crime Cell, Ghaziabad wherein the complainant has alleged that the above mentioned bank account is used to cheat the innocent people. The Nodal Officer was requested to provide the informations in soft copy at the earliest.

Mr. Paul, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent nos. 2 and 3 submits that in view of the communication made by the Cyber Crime Cell, Ghaziabad to the Nodal Officer, the bank has freezed the account of the petitioner.

In view thereof, this Court does not find any reasons to interfere with the action taken by the bank.

The petitioner, however, will be at liberty to take appropriate steps before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

With the above observations and directions the writ petition being WPA 526 of 2025 stands disposed of.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)