

**Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side**

28+29 24.1.2025
Sc Ct. no. 2

WPA 184 OF 2025

And

WPA 186 OF 2025

Jagadish Chandra Ray @ Roy
Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Debajit Kundu

....For the Petitioner
in both writ petitions

Mr. Hirak Barman
Mr. Bikash Singha.

....For the State in
WPA 184 of 2025

Mr. Momenur Rahman
Mr. Kumar Shantanu.

....For the State in
WPA 186 of 2025

Mr. Debajit Kundu, learned Advocate appears for
the petitioners in these writ petitions.

Mr. Hirak Barman, learned State Advocate appears
for the State in **WPA 184 of 2025**.

Mr. Kumar Shantanu, learned State Advocate
appears for the State in **WPA 186 of 2025**.

While the writ petitions are being moved, learned
State Advocates Mr. Hirak Barman and learned State
Advocate Mr. Kumar Shantanu have rightly pointed out
that, the same writ petitioner has filed two different
writ petitions, viz. the instant writ petitions, **WPA 184**

of 2025 and **WPA 186 of 2025**, appearing today in today's Cause List against the serial nos. **28 and 29**.

learned State Advocates submit that, two separate writ petitions are not maintainable as the cause of action is the one being the retirement benefits though may be received under **two** different **Pension Payment Orders**.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court is of the view that, cause of action in these writ petitions may be clubbed together and a composite writ petition can be filed by the petitioner.

Accordingly, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a single writ petition in accordance with law.

With these observations these writ petitions, **WPA 184 of 2025** and **WPA 186 of 20125** stand **dismissed** without going into the merits of these writ petitions with leave granted to the petitioner, as recorded above.

Photocopy of this order be kept with the records of both the writ petitions.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)