

**Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side**

17+26 24.1.2025
Sc Ct. no. 2

WPA 118 OF 2025

And

WPA 141 OF 2025

Birendra Mohan Saha

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Debajit Kundu
Ms. Arpita Saha.

....For the Petitioner
in both writ petitions

Mr. Pretom Das
Mr. Kumar Shantanu.

....For the State in
WPA 118 of 2025

Mr. Anirban Banerjee
Mr. Bikash Singha.

....For the State in
WPA 141 of 2025

Mr. Debajit Kundu, learned Advocate appears for
the petitioners in these writ petitions.

Mr. Kumar Shantanu, learned State Advocate
appears for the State in **WPA 118 of 2025**.

Mr. Anirban Banerjee, learned State Advocate
appears for the State in **WPA 141 of 2025**.

While the writ petitions are being moved, learned
State Advocates Mr. Kumar Shantanu and Mr. Anirban
Banerjee have rightly pointed out that, the same writ
petitioner has filed two different writ petitions, viz. the
instant writ petitions, **WPA 118 of 2025** and **WPA 141**

of 2025, appearing today in today's Cause List against the serial nos. **17 and 26**.

learned State Advocates submit that, two separate writ petitions are not maintainable as the cause of action is the one being the retirement benefits though may be received under **two** different **Pension Payment Orders**.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court is of the view that, cause of action in these writ petitions may be clubbed together and a composite writ petition can be filed by the petitioner.

Accordingly, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a single writ petition in accordance with law.

With these observations these writ petitions, **WPA 118 of 2025** and **WPA 141 of 20125** stand **dismissed** without going into the merits of these writ petitions with leave granted to the petitioner, as recorded above.

Photocopy of this order be kept with the records of both the writ petitions.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)