

02.01.2025

31
sdas
Rejected

C.R.M. (A) No. 4679 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Lalgola Police Station Case No. 933 of 2024 dated 12.09.2024 under Sections 420/409 of the Indian Penal Code.

And
In Re : Sahin Alam petitioner

Mr. Tapodip Gupta
.....for the petitioner

Mr. Suman De
Ms. Baishakhi Chatterjee
..... for the State

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits he was an employee of the *de facto* complainant-company and has been falsely implicated. He prays for anticipatory bail.

2. Learned Counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

3. We have considered the materials on record. *De facto* complainant-company is a business correspondent of the IndusInd Bank. Petitioner was appointed by the *de facto* complainant. In course of his duties he collected monies from loanees but did not account the same. Custodial interrogation for recovery of stolen money is necessary. Accordingly, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Shampa Dutt (Paul), J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

