

25.03.2025
Court No. 26
Item No.76

CRM (DB) 4429 of 2024

Rohan

In Re:- An application for **Bail** under Section under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with Section **483** of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Sankrail** Police Station Case No. **842** of **2022** dated **12.08.2022** for the offences punishable under Sections **363/365** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 4 of the POCSO Act;

And

In the matter of : **Suvo Panday @ Pandey @ Pande.**

...Petitioner

Mr. Ashok Das,
Mr. Md.Toslim Ali.

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman De,
Ms. Purnima Ghosh.

... for the State.

1. Petitioner renews the prayer for bail.
2. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the last order of rejection dated July 4, 2024 directed the trial to be expedited and concluded definitely within six months from the date fixed for recording of evidence. Such order also allowed to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail. He submits that, the trial is unlikely to be concluded in the near future.
3. Learned advocate appearing for the State submits a report, which be taken on record.
4. Petitioner is the principal accused in a case of kidnapping, abduction as well as sexual assault on a minor.
5. Trial is in progress.
6. Out of eight prosecution witnesses, three stands examined at the

trial.

7. The order of the Co-ordinate Bench dated July 4, 2024 passed in CRM (DB) 1832 of 2024 directed the trial to be concluded definitely within a period of six months. In default, the Co-ordinate Bench permitted the petitioner before us to renew his prayer for bail which the petitioner did by this application.
8. Enlarging the petitioner on bail at this stage may be inimical to the trial. All prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.
9. Petitioner is not founding his prayer for bail on the grounds that the prosecution witnesses so far examined exonerated him from the charges as against him.
10. In such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.
11. Hence, the application for **bail** stands **rejected**.
12. Thus, **CRM (DB) 3678 of 2024** is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)