

15.05.2025

**DL-31
Ct. No. 33
Srimanta**

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA 30408 of 2013

**Bisweswar Maiti
-Vs.-
Kolkata Metro Rail Corporation Limited & Ors.**

Mr. Prosenjit Mukherjee,
Ms. Babita Pamanik,
Mr. Prosenjit Chongder

...for the petitioner.

Mr. B. Banerjee

...for the respondents.

The learned Advocate representing the respondent nos. 1 to 5 is present.

The learned Advocate representing the petitioner submitted that the petitioner expired on 26th March, 2025 and his legal heirs had addressed him a written instruction expressing their unwillingness to be substituted in place of the petitioner to proceed with the hearing of the instant writ petition. Let the said written instruction be kept on record.

Under such circumstances, the instant writ petition has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)