

08.01.2025
21
as
[ALLOWED]

C. R. M. (A) 4461 of 2024

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Manikchak Police Station Case No. 835 of 2024 dated 06.11.2024 under Sections 85/331(4)/70(1)/62/303(2)/351(3)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

In Re: ***Pappu Mandal.***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Arup Kr. Bhowmick.

... .. for the petitioner

Ms. Sonali Das,
Mr. Sandip Kundu.

... .. for the State

Mr. Anish Kr. Mukherjee.

...for the de-facto complainant.

1. Petitioner is the brother-in-law of the victim. He has been falsely implicated. Accordingly, he prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. Learned Advocate for the de-facto complainant also opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
4. We have considered the materials on record including the statement of the victim. There is a matrimonial discord between the victim and her husband. Possibility of false implication cannot be ruled out. No injury is suffered by the victim.
5. Under such circumstances, we are inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner viz., ***Pappu Mandal*** be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties of like

amount each, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. He shall appear before the jurisdictional court and pray for regular bail within four weeks from date.

7. The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)