

D/L 30
10.04.2025
Bpg.
ct.no.35

W.P.A.28771 of 2024

**Dipankar Ghosh & Anr.
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Sudip Das
Mr. Aritra Kumar Thokdar.
...for the petitioners.

Mr. Wasim Ahmed
Md. Sehabuddin.
...for the State-respondents.

Supplementary affidavit filed by the
petitioners be kept with the record.

Petitioners submit that during the pendency
of the writ petition an information was furnished with
the police authorities and the police authorities have
taken steps for which presently the private
respondents are not disturbing or interfering with the
property of the petitioners.

Mr. Ahmed, learned advocate appearing on
behalf of the State submits that proceedings have
been drawn up under Section 126 of the BNSS and a
strict vigil has been kept at the locale so that no
untoward incident takes place.

Be that as it may, as the petitioners are
presently satisfied for non-interference at the behest
of the private respondents, I am of the view that

further pendency of the writ petition is unwarranted. In case further inconveniences are faced by the petitioners, petitioners would inform the police authorities who would act in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 28771 of 2024 is disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)