

30 09.01.2025
AN Ct. No. 01
RP

WPA(P) 486 of 2024

Dhananjay Sardar
vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Indrajit Roy Chowdhury
Ms. Purnima Halder

... for the Petitioner

Mr. A. Ray, Ld. G.P.
Mr. Supratim Dhar
Mr. Sayan Ganguly

... for the State

Ms. Sonal Sinha
Ms. Shabnam Farooqi

... for respondent no. 7 & 8

Mr. Sailesh Kr. Gupta

... for respondent no. 11 to 14

1. The petitioner alleges that the private respondents are illegally operating a brick kiln. This allegation appears to be incorrect as in the representation/mass petition given by the petitioner, they have admitted that the West Bengal Pollution Control Board has granted consent to operate by order dated 22.03.2024.

2. Therefore, as long as the order remains intact, the question of entertaining the writ petition with a prayer as sought for does not arise. However, it will be open to the petitioner to challenge the order passed by the Board on the ground alleged by them before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

3. In the result, the writ petition stands **dismissed**. Copy of the order passed by the West Bengal Pollution Control Board granting consent to operate brick

kiln in favour of Sona Enterprises has been handed over to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

(T. S. Sivagnanam)
Chief Justice

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)