

18.12.2025

Item No.20
Crt.No.10
A.B.

WPA 27127 of 2025

Uday Sankar Pal
VS.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Dilip Kumar Samanta
Mr. Biswapriya Samanta
Mr. suhatro Palit
Mr. Raktim Sidhanta
Ms. Riya Saha
Mr. Akash Chakraborty
.... For the petitioner.
Mr. Pantu Deb Roy, Ld. AGP
Mr. Pannalal Bandyopadhyay
.... For the State.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. Heard the parties through their respective learned counsels.
3. The petitioner in the instant case is a holder of Permanent Stage Carriage Permit being P.St.P. 06/74-75 initially granted on the route Bardhaman to Tarkeswar and subsequently by a resolution of respondent no. 2 dated 17.01.2018 the route has been described as Memari to Tarkeswar which was accordingly renewed upto 22.09.2029 endorsing the Stage Carriage No. WB-19J-2448.
4. The petitioner states that the respondent no. 4 is also a permit holder on the same route

plying from Memari to Tarkeswar under P.St.P. No. 17/22-23 and has been operating his Stage Carriage on the said route by virtue of an existing time table and has been authorized to depart his vehicle in the last trip from Tarakeswar at 4:50 PM with the termination of service at Jamalpur at 6:00 PM.

5. Thereafter the petitioner made a prayer for small adjustment of the revision of time table from Tarakeswar point indicating 12:00 Noon instead of 12:05 PM and for approving timings 5:00 PM instead of 5:05 PM as approved under Memo no. 858/MV dated 22.05.2023 since the time table of the petitioner's vehicle has already been covered in P.St.P No. 06/74-75 as approved by the respondent no. 3.
6. The petitioner submits that the representation was made before the authority concerned but the same is pending for consideration.
7. The State respondent fairly submits that since the matter is pending for a considerable period of time, the authority concerned shall consider the objection being annexure P-4 at page 20 to the writ petition against the time

table of permit No. P.St.P. No. 17/22-23
Memo No. 2101/ML/PBDI dated 08.10.2025.

8. Having heard the parties upon considering the available records I am of the considered view that the objection dated 08.10.2025 shall be considered by the respondent no. 3 within a period of six weeks by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law upon affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other interested parties, if any, and communicate the decision to the parties within a week thereafter.
9. With the above observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case.
10. There will be no order as to costs.
13. Parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Smita Das De, J.)