

22.12.2025
Court No.28
Item No. 42
tbsr
reject

CRM (A) 4027 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Budge Budge** P.S. Case No. **168 of 2025** dated **12.06.2025** under Sections **4/5** of the Explosives Substances Act, 1908 and Section 61(2) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Amit Dalui

....Petitioner.

Mr. Soumya Nag
Mr. Abhinav Rakshit
....for the petitioner.
Ms. Suboshree Patel
Mr. Raju Mondal
.....for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The only material against him is the statement of a co-accused. The principal accused was arrested and was thereafter granted bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She relies on the statements of witnesses and the seizure list for recovery of bombs. She refers to a statement of a independent local witness present at page 28 of the case diary and submits that the petitioner was the one who fled from the spot. She further submits that there are other statements to such effect.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)