

AD 31
December 22, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 4015 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Malda P.S. Case No.684 of 2025 dated 29.09.2025 under Sections 126(2)/118(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
And

In the matter of:

Rahul Ghosh

... petitioner

Mr. A. Islam
Mr. Tasnim Ahamed

... for the petitioner

Ms. Rituparna De Ghose
Mr. Atanu Ghosh

... for the State

Mr. S. Das

... for the de facto complainant

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Other co-accused were granted bail.

Learned counsel for the de facto complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the present petitioner is the principal accused. He is not on the same footing as the others.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, refers to the statements of witnesses and the injury report which shows cut injury on the neck, lacerated injury and other injuries.

Considering the nature of injuries inflicted on a vital part of the body, the incriminating materials available in the case

diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)