

22.12.2025
Court No.28
Item No.89
ssi

CRM (A) 4079 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Liluah Police Station Case No.**571 of 2025** dated **09.11.2025** under Sections **135/138/149** of the Electricity Act, 2003.

And

In the matter of: **Bhagwati Prasad Jalan @ B. P. Jalan & others.**
....Applicants/Petitioners.

Mr. Soumya Nag
Mr. Aditya Tiwari

...for the petitioners

Mrs. Manisha Sharma
Mr. Sobhan Gani

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the entire sum of provisionally assessed amount has been paid to the Electricity Authorities without prejudice.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. However, he submits that the entire sum of finally assessed amount has been paid by the petitioners without prejudice.

Considering the fact that the entire amount of finally assessed bill has been paid by the petitioners without prejudice and the materials available in the case diary, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required in this case and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and on further conditions that the petitioners shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses and shall co-operate with the investigation. The petitioners shall meet the I.O. as and when required.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)