

03.12.2025

Sl.No. 36

Ct.No. 23

Amalranjan

In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 27514 of 2006

Mrs. Rina Kumari
Vs.
C.E.S.C. Limited and ors.

Mr. Debanjan Mukherjee

...for the CESC

1. None appears on behalf of the petitioner on call.
No accommodation is sought for. Even on earlier occasions, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner on call.
2. This case pertains to the year 2006. Almost 19 years have already passed.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of CESC/respondents submits that despite direction passed by the then co-ordinate Bench vide order dated 21.12.2006, 50% amount has not been paid by the petitioner as yet.
4. Learned counsel for the CESC further submits that the electricity line was disconnected in the year 2007 as per instruction and that after expiry of 180 days the petitioner had not taken any steps. The writ petition has become infructuous and this matter may be disposed of.

5. With the above observations, this court does not find any scope to pass any order as prayed by the petitioner.
6. In view of the above facts, the instant writ petition being **WPA 27514 of 2006** is **disposed** of.
7. Consequently, the connected applications, if any, are also, thus, disposed of.
8. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.
9. All parties shall act in terms of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
10. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties taking all legal formalities.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)