

15-01-2025
Item No.103 ML
Subrata
Bhattacharyya
AR(C)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
Appellate Side

WPA No.26983 of 2024
Sri Uttam Kumar Halder
-vs-
The Union of India & Others

Mr. Uttam Kumar Halder...the petitioner (in person)

Mr. Anil Kumar Gupta
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Shah ...for the Union of India

Ms. Ananya Neogi ...for the State

Mr. Debashis Saha
Mr. Avirup Roy Sanyal
Ms. Sucheta Pal ...for the bank

1. The petitioner approached the District Consumer Redressal Forum with his complaint. He alleges bias on the part of the district forum. During the pendency of the complaint case, the instant writ petition was filed seeking transfer of the complaint case from one Commission to another but by the time the writ petition could be considered, the district forum disposed of the complaint case. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed by the district forum.
2. It has been submitted that the Commission ought not to have proceeded with the complaint case, as the writ petition was pending disposal before this Court.
3. No order of any competent forum has been brought to the notice of this Court staying the hands of the Commission in proceeding with the

complaint case. The complaint case was decided on merits and disposed of by the district forum.

4. As the petitioner appears to be aggrieved by the order passed by the district forum, it will be open for him to approach the appellate forum for relief, if so advised.
5. The writ petition stands disposed of.
6. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.
7. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

[Amrita Sinha, J]