

AD 56
December 5, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 3920 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Farakka P.S. Case No.251 of 2025 dated 29.06.2025 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

Mohan Roy and another

... petitioners

Mr. Moyukh Mukherjee
Ms. Sagnika Banerjee

... for the petitioners

Mr. Avishek Sinha
Ms. Pallavi Priyadarshee

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that on an earlier incident the petitioner No.2 had suffered injuries at the hand of the other side. However, an FIR was lodged after the present one. A charge9-sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, refers to the statements of the victim and witnesses which clearly implicate the present petitioners. He also refers to the injury report and the statement of the doctor.

Considering the materials available in the case diary, the grievous injury inflicted, the statements of the victim and other witnesses, I do not think this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)