

11.12.2025
Court No.28
Item No. 55
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 3883 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Arambagh** P.S. Case No. **404 of 2025** dated **01.08.2025** under Sections **115(2)/117(2)/329(4)/351(2)/3(5)/352/76** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Sk. Jakir Hossen

....Petitioner.

Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh
Mr. Deborshi Bramha
Ms. Labani Sikder
Mr. Souvik Dey

....for the petitioner

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee
Ms. Rituparna Saha

....for the State

Mr. Biswajit Manna

....for the de facto complainant

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. In 2006, there was an agreement entered into between two families regarding a land dispute. In fact, all male members of the de facto complainant's family were convicted for life, for the murder of a relative of the petitioner. As a counterblast, the present case was initiated.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the de facto complainant's mother was severely injured and she was stripped on a field in the broad day light. Subsequently, another FIR had to be registered for threatening of witnesses.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the injury reports of the victim, the statements of the victim recorded before the learned Magistrate and the statements of local eye-witnesses.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)