

31.10.2025
36-38
sdas

CRR 3439 of 2012
with
CRR 3440 of 2012
with
CRR 3441 of 2012

In Re : Sudhakar Kadathur Ramasubramanian
..... petitioner in CRR 3439 of 2012

In Re : Anand Das Gupta
..... petitioner in CRR 3440 of 2012

In Re : Kumar Mangalam Birla
..... petitioner in CRR 3441 of 2012

Mr. Sabyasachi Banerjee, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Nahid Ahmed
Mr. Aniket Agarwal
..... for the petitioners

Mr. Satadru Lahiri
Mr. Siddharth Shroff
..... for the opposite party no. 2

Ms. Ahmed, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the offences leading to registration of A.C. Case No. 1804 of 2012 have been compounded in terms of Section 320 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. She also submits that in view of this fact, the present revisional application has become infructuous.

Learned Advocate appearing for the opposite party no. 2 has concurred with such submission and produced an order dated 20th January, 2025 to show that the offences which gave rise to the case vide A.C. 1804 of 2012 have been compounded.

In view of such subsequent development, the present revisional application is dismissed as infructuous.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.)