

Sl.No.

235 21.08.2025

Court
No. 35

G.S.Das

WPA 26415 of 2024

Rashmoni Devi @ Shaw

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Dipanjan Datta
Md. Ahsanuzzaman

... for the State-Respondent(s)

The writ petition earlier appeared on 11.11.2024 and 04.12.2024, when an accommodation was sought for on behalf of the petitioner.

Today, *also*, when the writ petition is called, none appears on behalf of the petitioner.

As none appears on behalf of the State, Mr. Dipanjan Datta, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State is directed to represent the State. Mr. Datta is entitled to have a junior of his choice. The appointment of both Mr. Datta and the junior of his choice, if any, be regularized by the

concerned authority.

In view the petitioner no more desirous to pursue with the writ petition further, WPA 26415 of 2024 is **dismissed for default.**

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)