

21.11.2025
Court No.35.
D/L. 94.
Kausik

CRM (M) 2324 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Itahar Police
Station Case No. 223 of 2022 dated April 08, 2022 under
Sections 498A/302/306/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : Bidhan Roy

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Navanil De
Mr. Srinjan Ghosh

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Jana

....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is in custody for 3 years and 7 months and there
has been no progress in the trial of the case and the father-in-
law of the petitioner has already been granted bail.

Learned advocate for the State has submitted a report.
Report reflects that out of 18 witnesses proposed to be
examined, 16 witnesses have been examined by the
prosecution.

State is directed to complete the 2 witnesses left within
a period of 60 days from the next date so fixed.

Learned Trial Court, in the alternative, will leniently
consider the prayer for bail of the petitioner in case the
witnesses are not examined within the aforesaid schedule. No

unnecessary adjournment be granted to any of the parties. The trial of the case would continue in spite of any resolution by the local bar.

With the aforesaid observations CRM (M) 2324 of 2025 is disposed of.

Report submitted be kept with the record.

State is directed to communicate this order to the learned Trial Court.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)