

AD 54
December 2, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 3849 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Arambagh Police Station Case No.255 of 2025 dated 04.05.2025 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/232(1)/76/352/351(2)/76 of the BNS, 2023, adding Section 64 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

Arijit Roy @Arajit Roy
... petitioner

Ms. Chandrima Debnath
... for the petitioner

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty
Ms. Sanjida Sultana
... for the State

Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh
Ms. Sompurna Chattejee
Ms. Labani Sikder
Mr. Souvik Dey
... for the de facto complainant

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the son of the de facto complainant is a civic volunteer. Taking advantage of his occupation, the de facto complainant and her family members used to threaten the neighbours. Several complaints were lodged in this regard. As the petitioner protested, he has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel for the de facto complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and relies on the statements of the victim as well as the local witnesses and the injury report.

Considering the other materials available in the case diary including the statements of neighbours, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)