

17.11.2025
Court No.35.
D/L. 43.
Rakib
(rejected)

CRM (M) 2307 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Pandua Police Station Case No. 416 of 2020 dated 24.12.2020 under Sections 302/201/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In the matter of : Purnima Tudu

.....Petitioner.

Ms. Suchismita Dutta
.....for the Petitioner.
Ms. Sreyashee Biswas
Ms. Nandini Chatterjee
.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for four years eleven months and out of 30 witnesses proposed to be examined only 21 witnesses has been examined.

Learned advocate appearing for the State intends to examine two more witnesses.

Having considered the period of detention of the petitioner and without going into the merits of the case, I direct the learned trial Court to conclude the examination of the two prosecution witnesses proposed to be examined, as well as the examination under Section 313 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by 31st of December, 2025.

In case in spite of all cooperation from the accused persons, if the aforesaid stage is not overcome by the Court or

by the State, petitioner would be at liberty to renew the prayer for bail before this Court.

Learned advocate for the State is directed to communicate this order to the investigating officer of the case, Public Prosecutor conducting the case before the learned trial Court as well as a copy of the order-sheet of this Court be placed before the learned Sessions Judge, in seisin of the case.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 2307 of 2025 is **rejected**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)