

17.11.2025
Serial no. 40
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2300 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **GR Case No. 115 of 2025 arising out of Cyber Crime Police Station, Ranaghat Police District Case No. 38 of 2024** dated **07.09.2024** under sections **417/419/467/468/506/120B.**
-And-

In the matter of : Shreyoshi Das @ Shreyasi Das
... .. Petitioner(s)

Mr. Dhiraj Kumar Trivedi, Sr adv.
Mr. Pradip Kumar Kundu
Mr. Pratik Basu
Mr. Shashwata Sadhukhan
Mr. A. Biswas

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta
Ms. Eshita Dutta

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for one year and one month; the investigation of the case has been concluded, charges have been framed and out of the nine witnesses proposed to be examined by the prosecution, only one witness has been examined.

It has also been contended by the learned advocate for the petitioner that two other persons similarly placed as the petitioner have been granted bail.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case diary; opposes the prayer for bail on merits and resists the contention of the petitioner.

However, learned advocate for the State is unable to distinguish between the locus of the present petitioner and those other two persons who have been released on bail.

Having considered the period of detention of the present petitioner being similarly situated with the other persons who were granted bail, I am of the view that further detention of the present petitioner is unwarranted.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Allowed.**

Accordingly, the petitioner viz, Shreyoshi Das @ Shreyasi Das shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Kalyani.

If on bail, the petitioner shall also make herself physically available on each and every date so fixed by the learned trial court.

If the petitioner intends to go outside the district of Nadia, she shall inform the learned trial court before leaving the district of Nadia.

In case, there is any violation of the aforesaid conditions, the learned trial court would be at liberty to cancel the bail without further reference to this court.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2300 of 2025 is **allowed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)