

95
16.11.2023
sb
Ct 550

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 26418 of 2017

Steel Authority of India Ltd.
Vs.
The Learned Controlling Authority under the
Payment of Gratuity Act & Anr.

Mr. Arnab Ray
... For the respondent no.2.

Challenging a determination made under the provisions of Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, and the notice in Form R dated 11th January, 2017, calling upon the petitioner to make payment of a sum of Rs.14,85,448/- to the respondent no.2, the present writ application has been filed.

Mr. Ray, learned advocate representing the respondent no.2, submits that the present writ application may not be maintainable since, the petitioner without availing the statutory remedy and without making deposit of the determination already made, has filed the present writ application.

The petitioner, however, is not represented.

The present writ application has been pending for several years. Since, none appears on behalf of the petitioner, let this writ application be dismissed for default.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)