

D/L 67
19.11.2025

Bpg.
dismissed

C.R.M. (M) 2250 of 2025

In Re: An application for bail under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Bhagwangola Police Station Case No.421/2024 dated 16.08.2024 under Sections 329(4)/103(1).61/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1A)/27(3) of the Arms Act;

Mithu Sk.
Versus
The State of West Bengal.

Mr. Milon Mukherjee
Mr. Soukteya Ganguly.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Das Adhikari
Mr. Aritra Bhattacharya.
...for the State.

Mr. Soumik Ganguly
Mr. Tapodip Gupta.
...for the de facto complainant.

Learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 13 months and in spite of charge having been framed, hardly there has been any progress of the case.

On the other hand, learned advocate for the State submits that out of 29 witnesses proposed to be examined, 16 witnesses have been examined.

Learned advocate appearing for the de facto complainant also adopts the submission of the State.

Having regard to the gravity of the offence, at this stage, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail in CRM(M) 2250 of 2025 is dismissed.

Learned trial court is directed to take steps so that by 15th June, 2026 the prosecution witnesses are over. Prosecution would put in efforts to conclude the said witnesses. No unnecessary adjournment be granted to any of the parties and the trial of the case would continue irrespective of any resolution of the local bar.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)